

SENT TO LSU AGCENTER/LOUISIANA FOREST PRODUCTS DEVELOPMENT CENTER - FOREST SECTOR / FORESTY PRODUCTS INTEREST GROUP



Pressure on FSC to address transparency and conflict of interest concerns

Robin Hicks

August 6, 2020

The eco wood certifier needs to fulfill its role to protect forests by fixing a flawed system, say NGOs. FSC says change is coming.



A man cuts a tree with a power saw. FSC is one of the world's most trusted ethical product certifier, but the Bonn-headquartered organisation has come under increasing scrutiny. Image: FSC, CC BY 2.0

The Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), a sustainable forestry products certification scheme, needs to address weaknesses in its system that allow deforesters to sell products using the FSC label, environmentalists say.

FSC is one of the world's most established ethical product certifiers, but the Bonn-headquartered organisation has come under scrutiny for delaying investigations into companies linked to deforestation and human rights abuses, and an inquiry system that critics say is undermined by conflict of interest.



6 August 2020



SENT TO LSU AGCENTER/LOUISIANA FOREST PRODUCTS DEVELOPMENT CENTER - FOREST SECTOR / FORESTY PRODUCTS INTEREST GROUP

An investigation by environmental group Earthsight in June revealed that FSC had certified as sustainable wood used to make IKEA furniture that had been sourced illegally from high conservation value forests in Ukraine.

The following month, Indonesian non-governmental organisation (NGO) Auriga complained that FSC had shelved investigations into companies linked to illegal forest clearing and was instead focusing its efforts on getting companies that had been kicked out of FSC for violating its rules re-certified.

If FSC claims it is a brand that people can trust for sustainable forest products then it should be transparent and accountable.

FSC has blamed the Covid-19 pandemic for the delays, saying that the organisation lacks the resources to conduct investigations until later in the year.

The companies in question were Indonesian business empire Djarum, whose forestry companies are alleged to have cleared rainforests in Kalimantan, and Korindo, a palm oil firm linked to deforestation and human rights abuses in Papua.

Grant Rosoman, a global forests solutions senior adviser with Greenpeace International, commented that while FSC has said that it doesn't have the capacity to handle these investigations, it is working on bringing companies like Indonesian pulp and paper firm Asia Pacific Resources International Limited (APRIL) back into the scheme.

"That sounds odd to us. How did APRIL manage to get favourable treatment?" said Rosoman.

APRIL, which is alleged to have used illegally sourced wood from firms linked to Djarum, was banned from using the FSC green label in 2013 following complaints made by NGOs.

Rosoman said that FSC should make public any conflicts of interest among board members who are involved in investigations. Sources familiar with FSC have said that some board members have tried to sway decisions in favour of certain companies.

"If conflicts of interest are not declared publicly it raised questions about FSC's overall transparency," said Rosoman, whose organisation quit FSC in 2018 on the grounds that the certification body was no longer fulfilling its mandate to protect forests and human rights. Greenpeace remains involved with FSC in some countries.

Another point of contention lies with FSC not publishing maps that show where its 200 million hectares of certified forests are.

It is imperative that companies within the FSC system support efforts to improve transparency and monitoring and ensure these issues do not undermine or reverse the benefits that exist from FSC-certified forest management.



6 August 2020



SENT TO LSU AGCENTER/LOUISIANA FOREST PRODUCTS DEVELOPMENT CENTER - FOREST SECTOR / FORESTY PRODUCTS INTEREST GROUP
Aida Greenbury, a sustainability advisor for the Indonesian Oil Palm Farmers Union (SPKS), said that she expects “the highest standards” of transparency from a global certification body like FSC, and maps of certified areas should be made public.

In addition, FSC should introduce “proper” public consultations before major decisions on conflict cases are made, said Greenbury, who is a member of FSC and former sustainability chief of Indonesian pulpwood giant Asia Pulp and Paper (APP), a company that FSC cut ties with in 2007 due to evidence of destructive forestry practices.

Rosoman noted that the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO), the leading certifier for the palm oil trade, is ahead of FSC in terms of transparency because it publishes data on where RSPO-certified plantations are.

Companies involved with FSC have blocked calls for maps to be made public in the past, Rosoman said. “They say they don’t see the need [for public maps] and don’t want the attention. But the public need to know, and have a right to know,” he said.

Rosoman said that while FSC is the best sustainable wood products certification system there is, the involvement of rogue players in the system is undermining its credibility. “The bad eggs bring the whole system down,” he said.

Richard P. Vlosky, Ph.D.
Crosby Land & Resources Endowed Professor of Forest Sector Business Development
Director, Louisiana Forest Products Development Center
Room 227, School of Renewable Natural Resources
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803
Phone (office): (225) 578-4527; Mobile Phone: (225) 223-1931
Web Site: www.LFPDC.lsu.edu

