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In general, forest products companies located in rural areas provide jobs with wages competitive with other industries.

In rural areas where jobs are scarce, forest products jobs may provide alternatives to forced migration or commuting.
Overview

This project is based on an approach to stimulate economic development in depressed rural resource-based regions.

The focus is on the secondary or value-added forest products industry.

Goal is to identify long-term sustainable industry potential.
Overview

- add value to existing resources
- create employment opportunities
- maintain the stewardship of the resource
An Approach to Forest-Based Economic Development
Sustainable Forest Sector Economic Development

Resource Assessment
Key Questions
Resource Assessment

Are there ample timber resources to support value-added secondary forest products industry development?

Is the focal region located within reasonable transporting distance of significant standing timber inventories?

What are the trends: ownership, forestland acreage, growing stock, growth/removals, sawtimber, diameter classes, species, etc.
Sustainable Forest Sector Economic Development

Resource Assessment

Industry Structure Profile
Key Questions-Industry Structure

What is the structure of the established primary and secondary forest products industry base?

What types of manufacturing processes and equipment do current companies use?

Is there the presence of sawmills, dry kilns, millwork plants, OSB production which could support significant development?

Are companies able to compete in the markets they serve.

How have those companies which have grown and prospered done so? (exploiting specialty niches, cutting costs, etc).
Industry Structure

Current & Projected Requirements for Growth

- Products & Markets
- Distribution
- Employment & Sales
- Raw Materials
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Resource Assessment  Industry Structure Profile  Market Assessment
Key Questions
Market Assessment

What is the product mix of the companies?

What are current markets and customer bases? (Both domestic and export).

What is the quality and level of acceptance in current markets?

What product sectors have a high probability of success for further development?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Assessment</th>
<th>Industry Structure Profile</th>
<th>Market Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic Effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Questions
Economic Impacts

What economic impacts result from forest based industry development?

What are the economic ramifications at the community, regional and state levels?

What are the effects of different industry growth scenarios?
Economic Impacts

Current Industry Economic Indicators

Scenario Analysis

- Employment Impacts
- Multiplier Effects
- Income Effects
- Community & Region
## Sustainable Forest Sector Economic Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Assessment</th>
<th>Industry Structure Profile</th>
<th>Market Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic Effects</td>
<td>Social Structure &amp; Work Readiness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Questions

Social Structure/Work Readiness

What are the characteristics of the eligible workers for the value added secondary wood products industry?

What are the labor skill needs for this industry?

Will it be feasible to introduce value-added industries to economically depressed areas to support welfare reform policies?
Social Structure and Work Readiness

Current Social Structure

- Educational Attainment
- Substance Abuse
- Family/Social Structure
- Federal/State Programs
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Assessment</th>
<th>Industry Structure Profile</th>
<th>Market Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic Effects</td>
<td>Social Structure &amp; Work Readiness</td>
<td>Structural Impediments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Questions

Structural Impediments

What are the most important factors preventing secondary forest products industry development?

What will it take to encouraging manufacturing?
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Resource Assessment

Industry Structure Profile

Market Assessment

Economic Effects

Social Structure & Work Readiness

Structural Impediments

Training & Development
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Training & Development

Recommendations for Policy Makers
The Study
Parishes included in the study are: Bienville, Bossier, Caddo, Claiborne, Desoto, Lincoln, Natchitoches, Red River, Sabine and Webster.
Parishes included in the study area: Bienville, Bossier, Caddo, Claiborne, Desoto, Lincoln, Natchitoches, Red River, Sabine and Webster.
The Problem

In the wood products industry, Louisiana produces only $0.97 of value-added product for every $1.00 of lumber created by the sawmills operating in the state.

This compares to the southern average of $2.13 of value-added for $1.00 of sawmill product produced.

Improvement of industry competitiveness can increase potential for jobs creation and resource utilization in the rural-based forest products industry.
However, to attain this potential, a wide variety of issues must be addressed.

For example existing consumer market trends, location decision criteria, raw materials availability and applicability, labor force skills and training requirements, target market identification, recruitment and retention strategies, comparative advantages and effects on community stability should all be considered as part of an economic development initiative.
Project Objectives

1. Conduct a regional forest resources assessment as an indication of raw material supplies.
2. Identify the existing industry structure.
3. Analyze sources of competitive advantage for the region’s secondary forest products manufacturing base and identify broad sectors with high growth and market potential.
4. Determine social and economic profiles for the study region.
5. Describe the pool of eligible workers in the area to support industry development.
Project Objectives

6. Identify labor skill needs of the value-added secondary forest products industry.

7. Estimate economic impacts resulting from forest based industry development.

8. Generate information that can assist policy makers to formulate strategies for implementation of rural economic development efforts designed to capitalize on defensible market driven opportunities in forest products industry sectors.
Summary Results
The ten-parish study region covered in this report represent 15.6% of the parishes of Louisiana. However, timber lands in the region account for approximately 3.6 million acres or nearly 26% of the total 13.9 million acres of forested land in Louisiana.

Predominant species include 2.37 million acres of southern yellow pine and oak, 691 thousand acres of upland hardwood species such as oak, hickory and sweetgum and about 535 thousand acres of bottom-land species such as water oak, tupelo gum and cypress.
The data clearly indicates that the study region contains significant quantities of quality commercial softwood and hardwood timber to support further development of the secondary forest products industry.

Companies in the region produce a wide variety of products from the resource base and distribute these products around the world. In addition to the utilization of raw materials from within the region, these companies also import raw materials from adjacent states and parishes to manufacture products for industrial, commercial, and end-user markets.
Resource Assessment

- Most parishes in the region have seen increases in volumes of forestland between 1984 and 1991. Sabine parish has the greatest volume of softwood while Natchitoches parish contains the greatest volumes of hardwood species. Considering softwoods and hardwoods combined, Natchitoches parish has more timberland than any other parish in the study region.

- Volumes of cypress timber in the region, though significantly smaller than that of pine, have grown during the past decade. This increase in standing cypress timber may well represent a niche-specific opportunity for job creation.
A reduction in growth/removal ratios for the region indicates that harvesting pressure is being placed on the resource.

Volume for all diameter size classes except pole timber has increased over the 1974-1984-1991 time periods. This finding is important given the conventional wisdom that holds that private landowners are less likely to replant once they have harvested the commercial timber on their lands.

Future supplies of hardwood resources for value-added solid wood processing may be affected if the existing hardwood timber stands of immature trees are sold for chip and paper production.
Private non-forest industry landowners make up the majority of the land ownership structure in the region. This is a crucial factor because it could have significant impact on the availability of raw materials, how and to whom these raw materials are sold and the amount and distribution of regeneration of timber resources on these lands.
### 1991 Northwest Forestland Area

**By Species Group By Parish**

_(thousands of acres)_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parish</th>
<th>loblolly/shortleaf</th>
<th>oak-pine</th>
<th>oak-hickory</th>
<th>oak-gum-cypress</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bienville</td>
<td>194.93</td>
<td>122.53</td>
<td>72.40</td>
<td>55.70</td>
<td>445.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bossier</td>
<td>183.22</td>
<td>59.28</td>
<td>75.44</td>
<td>59.28</td>
<td>377.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo</td>
<td>82.04</td>
<td>82.04</td>
<td>82.04</td>
<td>71.10</td>
<td>317.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claiborne</td>
<td>182.46</td>
<td>84.31</td>
<td>85.06</td>
<td>42.15</td>
<td>393.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeSoto</td>
<td>137.95</td>
<td>88.29</td>
<td>66.22</td>
<td>77.25</td>
<td>369.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1991 Northwest Forestland Area
By Species Group By Parish
(thousands of acres)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parish</th>
<th>Longleaf/Slash</th>
<th>Loblolly/Shortleaf</th>
<th>Oak-Pine</th>
<th>Oak-Hickory</th>
<th>Oak-Gum-Cypress</th>
<th>Elm/Ash-Cottonwood</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>104.21</td>
<td>69.47</td>
<td>40.53</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>225.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches</td>
<td>27.45</td>
<td>209.45</td>
<td>116.49</td>
<td>104.09</td>
<td>84.74</td>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>553.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red River</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>34.92</td>
<td>34.92</td>
<td>29.10</td>
<td>34.92</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>151.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>276.47</td>
<td>81.69</td>
<td>75.40</td>
<td>25.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>464.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>132.80</td>
<td>56.30</td>
<td>60.14</td>
<td>50.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>299.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 1991 Northwest Forestland Area by Parish

Parishes Ranked By Acreage

(Thousands of acres)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parish</th>
<th>Acreage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches</td>
<td>553.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine</td>
<td>464.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bienville</td>
<td>445.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claiborne</td>
<td>393.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bossier</td>
<td>377.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeSoto</td>
<td>369.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo</td>
<td>317.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster</td>
<td>299.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>225.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red River</td>
<td>151.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1991 Northwest Forestland Area by Parish

Change in Acreage 1984-1991
(thousands of acres)

- Bossier: 21.03
- Caddo: 18.62
- Red River: 15.70
- Webster: 8.79
- Sabine: 2.39
- Natchitoches: -1.16
- Claiborne: -1.45
- DeSoto: -7.42
### 1991 Northwest Timberland Area by Ownership and Unit (thousands of acres)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>National Forest</th>
<th>Other Public</th>
<th>Forest Industry</th>
<th>Private</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bienville</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>267.34</td>
<td>178.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bossier</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>37.72</td>
<td>86.22</td>
<td>264.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>16.41</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>295.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claiborne</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>19.63</td>
<td>359.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeSoto</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>55.18</td>
<td>309.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 1991 Northwest Timberland Area by Ownership and Unit (thousands of acres)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>National Forest</th>
<th>Other Public</th>
<th>Forest Industry</th>
<th>Private</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>220.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches</td>
<td>113.71</td>
<td>16.70</td>
<td>139.13</td>
<td>283.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red River</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>34.92</td>
<td>116.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18.85</td>
<td>263.91</td>
<td>182.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster</td>
<td>11.53</td>
<td>31.28</td>
<td>43.79</td>
<td>212.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 1991 Northwest Forestland Area

### By Ownership By Parish

(Thousands of acres)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parish</th>
<th>Nat. Forest</th>
<th>Other Public</th>
<th>Forest Ind.</th>
<th>Private</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bienville</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bossier</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddo</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claiborne</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeSoto</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natchitoches</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red River</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>143</strong></td>
<td><strong>126</strong></td>
<td><strong>921</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,422</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1991 Northwest Forestland Area
By Size Class By Year
(thousands of acres)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>understocked</th>
<th>seedling/sapling</th>
<th>poletimber</th>
<th>sawtimber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>1,079</td>
<td>1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,115</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>1,918</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1991 Growing Stock Volume
By Diameter Class and Species Group
(million cubic feet)
Change in Growing Stock Volume
By Diameter Class and Species Group 1984-1991
(million cubic feet)
Change in PINE Growing Stock Volume
By Diameter Class 1984-1991
(million cubic feet)

[Bar chart showing the change in PINE growing stock volume by diameter class from 1984 to 1991.]
Change in SOFT HARDWOODS Growing Stock Volume

By Diameter Class 1984-1991

(million cubic feet)
Change in HARD HARDWOODS Growing Stock Volume
By Diameter Class 1984-1991
(million cubic feet)
1991 Sawtimber Volume
By Diameter Class
(billion board feet)
Change in Sawtimber Volume 1984-1991

By Diameter Class and Species Group
(billion board feet)

- Pine
- Soft Hardwoods
- Hard Hardwoods

Diameter Class:
- 12
- 14
- 16
- 18
- 20
- 22
- 24
- 26
- 28
- 30
- >31
### 1991 Sawtimber Volume by Grade

**Total: All Parishes**

(million board feet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Tr. Grade 1</th>
<th>Tr. Grade 2</th>
<th>Tr. Grade 3</th>
<th>Tr. Grade 4</th>
<th>Tr. Grade 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>2,286</td>
<td>2,630</td>
<td>8,907</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Hardwoods</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard Hardwoods</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>1,847</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,825</td>
<td>3,675</td>
<td>11,608</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1991 Growing Stock Volume by Species (cubic feet)
Total = 4,842,553,835

loblolly pine  48.8%
shortleaf pine  11.4%
sweetgum  10.1%
other white oaks  8.9%
other red oaks  3.5%
select red oaks  3.3%
select white oaks  2.5%
hickory sp.  1.9%
elms  1.3%
baldcypress  1.2%
longleaf pine  1.2%
green ash  1.0%
blackgum  0.9%
other  4.1%
### 1991 Hardwood Sawtimber Volume

By Species and Diameter Class

(million cubic feet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>&gt;26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sweetgum</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other white oaks</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>select red oaks</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>select white oaks</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 1991 Softwood Sawtimber Volume

### By Species and Diameter Class

(million cubic feet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>&gt;26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>loblolly pine</td>
<td>1,227</td>
<td>1,786</td>
<td>1,975</td>
<td>1,809</td>
<td>1,487</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shortleaf pine</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slash pine</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bald cypress</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,739</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,574</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,776</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,344</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,797</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,088</strong></td>
<td><strong>727</strong></td>
<td><strong>363</strong></td>
<td><strong>515</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1991 Growth/Removal for Hardwood Sawtimber
By Species and Parish

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bienville</th>
<th>Bossier</th>
<th>Caddo</th>
<th>Claiborne</th>
<th>DeSoto</th>
<th>Lincoln</th>
<th>Natchitoches</th>
<th>Red River</th>
<th>Sabine</th>
<th>Webster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other White Oaks</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sweetgum</strong></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Select Red Oaks</strong></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Select White Oaks</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.0 (Growth=Removals)
1991 Growth/Removal Ratios of Sawtimber
by Ownership and Species Group

- National Forest
  - Pine: 0.99
  - Soft Hardwoods: 2.77
  - Hard Hardwoods: 16.58

- Other Public
  - Pine: 1.33
  - Soft Hardwoods: 0.00
  - Hard Hardwoods: 4.99

- Forest Industry
  - Pine: 0.54
  - Soft Hardwoods: 0.18
  - Hard Hardwoods: 0.59

- Private
  - Pine: 0.99
  - Soft Hardwoods: 0.71
  - Hard Hardwoods: 1.16

1.0 (Growth = Removals)
1984 Growth/Removal Ratios of Sawtimber
by Ownership and Species Group

- National Forest
  - Pine: 0.99
  - Soft Hardwoods: 1.29
  - Hard Hardwoods: 2.00

- Other Public
  - Pine: 0.00
  - Soft Hardwoods: 0.00
  - Hard Hardwoods: 2.45

- Forest Industry
  - Pine: 1.42
  - Soft Hardwoods: 1.40
  - Hard Hardwoods: 1.17

- Private
  - Pine: 1.64
  - Soft Hardwoods: 2.18
  - Hard Hardwoods: 2.38

1.0 (Growth = Removals)
Industry Structure

- The region’s forest product industries represent important job creation opportunities. World demand for products manufactured by the region’s companies is increasing. Numerous products are manufactured and are shipped to markets around the nation and world.

- The majority of the secondary forest products companies are small and use relatively standardized manufacturing processes and equipment. These companies are able to compete in the markets they serve by exploiting specialty niches and cutting costs.
Industry Structure

- Demand is especially good for grade hardwood lumber used in flooring, cabinetry, furniture and other high value-added applications. In addition, utility grade hardwood materials are used in many applications including transportation-oriented products and in construction applications.

- Softwoods originating in the area have an almost unlimited application from pulp and paper, cellulose by-products, construction industry applications; domestic and foreign as well as land based and marine applications.
Industry Structure

- The outlook of most managers in the region is for continued market opportunity due primarily to increased scarcity of forest resources in other regions of the U.S.

- Related to the availability of resources and increased utilization efficiencies is the issue of recycling of currently unusable by-products. The issue of waste utilization was identified as a major issue.
Industry Structure

- Managers are concerned about the availability of qualified labor. There is a lack of training opportunities for new and existing employees.

- Of particular concern is the lack of basic skills and work maturity training in entry-level labor.

- The availability of labor skilled in the maintenance and operation of hydraulic and computer controlled hydraulic systems were identified as critical skills needed in the region by the larger employers.
Industry Structure-Issues

- Future dependence on international markets
- The lack of exporting technical assistance or knowledge of sources for such assistance
- Governmental regulation restricting access to public forestlands
- Environmental and administrative regulation controlling harvesting quotas
- Local sales taxes and tax codes
- The complexity of complying with state and federal regulatory requirements
- Lack of local access to sea going containers
- Poor labor quality
- Need to reduce the amount of waste being lost to landfills.
Industry Structure

- The most important factors preventing manufacturing industry development in the region are the cost of workman’s compensation insurance and corporate taxes.

- Focusing on value-added manufacturing in order to create skilled higher paying jobs will require an effort of local and state officials aimed at leveling the playing field with other states in terms of the impact of manufacturing disincentives.

- In addition, legislation aimed at bringing worker’s compensation premiums in line with loss payment decreases was identified as being beneficial.
### NW Louisiana Forest Products Industry

**Planned Employee Additions in 1997 and 1998-2000**

*(n=29 companies)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Extrapolated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-2000</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Emp. Additions</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>1,281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NW Louisiana Forest Products Industry
Employees by Category in 1997
(n=29 companies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>4,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Employees</td>
<td>4,946</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NW Louisiana Forest Products Industry
Company Size by Sales Category
(n=29 companies)

- $50K-$99K: 7.7%
- $100K-$249K: 7.7%
- $250K-$499K: 11.5%
- $500K-$999K: 7.7%
- $1,000K-$4,999K: 19.2%
- $5,000K-$9,999K: 7.7%
- $10,000K-$49,999K: 38.5%
- >$10,000K: 7.7%
NW Louisiana Forest Products Industry
Factors That Hinder Ability to Compete
(n=29 companies)

- Workers Compensation: 4.1
- Unemployment Insurance: 3.7
- Inadequate pool of skilled labor: 3.3
- OSHA Requirements: 3.3
- Environmental regulations: 3.3
- State taxes are too restrictive: 2.7
- Lack of productivity of labor: 2.6
- Labor costs are too high: 2.5
- Insufficient unskilled labor supply: 2.4
- Local taxes are too restrictive: 2.4
- Lack of available capital: 2.4
- Need to promote the industry nationally: 2.3
- Need to promote the industry internationally: 2.3
- Drug & substance abuse in the workplace: 2.1
- Need for employee training assistance: 2
- Lack of bank financing: 1.9

1=not a problem to 5=significant problem
Market Assessment

- The study region is faced with several unique opportunities to pursue future development of the secondary forest products industry. Seven commercial timber species have been identified with moderate to high commercial value.

- Southern pine raw materials of desired timber size and quality will continue to decrease as more pressure is placed on the region to replace anticipated production declines in the Northwest. This indicates a need to add more value to the existing resource.

- Hardwood supplies should remain stable provided no major industrial market developments are made.
Market Assessment

- Opportunities exist for small and midsize firms to produce intermediate and final products. Examples include dimension stock, millwork, timber laminating, end- and edge-gluing and surface overlaying.

- Market niches will emerge to meet market needs by providing additional value-added production or services.

- Where possible, integration of businesses into informal and formal networks will provide smaller companies with the ability to compete and will provide larger companies with the ability to change quickly to meet market needs.
Market Assessment

- Product group opportunities with potential for growth and expansion include hardwood wood components, ready-to-assemble furniture, architectural millwork, hardwood flooring and treated softwood value-added products. Beyond these broad product groups, there are likely to exist niche opportunities for a number of wood products.

- On a limited geographical market basis, household furniture and cabinets have a moderate competitive environment and market attractiveness, particularly in niche markets. These segments should be targeted for selective investment where risk is minimized.
Market Assessment

- Ready-to-assemble (RTA) furniture is growing and maturing as a product line. It does not look like RTA anymore. Many pieces are difficult to tell from traditional goods. RTA shipments in the United States are forecasted to grow by more than 10 percent annually over the next two to three years.

- Larger furniture manufacturers are most concerned with having an available, trainable labor force, while smaller manufacturers look for an existing supply of skilled labor. Technical assistance in the area of training is valued by both.
Market Assessment

- Important factors in selecting a site for furniture manufacturing plants are distribution/transportation and access to raw materials.

- The primary form of transportation of concern to manufacturers is trucking, therefore, a potential location is considered attractive if it provides good access to major North/South and East/West highways and major trucking lines.

- Although proximity to raw materials is important to both large and small manufacturers, it is more critical to smaller manufacturers.
## Hardwood Wood Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Weight (1-10)</th>
<th>Rating (1-10)</th>
<th>Score Weight x Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>raw material availability</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>available markets (local, regional, national or global)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>market growth rate</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competitive factors</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provides employment opportunities</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distribution infrastructure exists or can be developed</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>available workforce</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>450/600=75 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Summary Criteria Evaluation for Major Product Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Sector</th>
<th>Score Sum of Ratings</th>
<th>Weight x Rating/Total Possible Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hardwood Wood Components</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ready-To-Assemble Furniture</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Millwork</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated Products</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Furniture</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardwood Flooring</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabinets</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Market Strategy Map

### Competitive Strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market Attractiveness</th>
<th>STRONG</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
<th>WEAK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>Extend Position</td>
<td>Invest to Build</td>
<td>Build Cautiously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Hardwood Wood Components</td>
<td>* Hardwood Flooring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* RTA Furniture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>Build Selectively</td>
<td>Invest Selectively</td>
<td>Limit Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Architectural Millwork</td>
<td>* Household Furniture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Treated Products</td>
<td>* Cabinets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>Protect &amp; Refocus</td>
<td>Harvest</td>
<td>Divest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Extend Position**: Focus on expanding market share.
- **Invest to Build**: Build capital to strengthen position.
- **Build Cautiously**: Cautiously invest in areas with potential.
- **Build Selectively**: Selectively invest in strategic areas.
- **Invest Selectively**: Invest in select areas for growth.
- **Limit Expansion**: Limit expansion in weak areas.
- **Protect & Refocus**: Protect current positions and focus on strengths.
- **Harvest**: Exit and focus on mature products.
- **Divest**: Exit weak areas completely.
Economic Impacts

- The potential of the value-added forest products industry has been increasing as a means of facilitating economic development. The value-added forest products industry has the potential for supporting economic growth in rural areas of Louisiana.

- Results of an economic model of the ten parishes in the study region indicate that growth in the sector would make a fairly substantial contribution to overall economic activity in the region. Results also indicate that development of the industry may be an appropriate way to create economic opportunities for lower income households.
Economic Impacts

- Output multipliers of particular interest for this study include the $1.96 change in total regional output for a $1.00 change in sales by Kitchen Cabinets and Millwork, the output multiplier of $2.18 for Structural Wood Members, the output multiplier of $2.17 for Wood Preserving, the output multiplier of $1.87 for Furniture, and the output multiplier of $1.90 for Wood Partitions.

- Output multipliers for three of the five value-added forests products industries were among the top five industrial sectors in terms of output multipliers out of seventeen sectors analyzed.
Economic Impacts

- For four of the five value-added forest products industries, multipliers for low income households were larger than average.

- This result implies that growth in the five industries may be especially beneficial to low income households. Among the five sectors, Kitchen Cabinets at $0.23, Structural Wood Members at $0.19, Furniture at $0.23, and Wood Partitions at $0.22 had larger than average increases for low income level households.
Economic Impacts

- Total sales by the top five value-added industries were estimated to equal $95.91 million in 1993.

- A 100 percent increase in industry output was projected to lead to a $202.65 million increase in economic activity in the regional economy and an increase of 2,264 new jobs.

- For a 25% increase, the direct change in output was $23.98 million while the total change in output in the regional economy was $50.66 million. The increase in total regional employment was expected to be 566 jobs.